

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,817	REBOUD ET AL.
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1797

All Participants: _____

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas Cunningham (Appl. Rep.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2010 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

35, 44-46, 48-51, 53, 60, 62, 64, 66-67, 70

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 35, 44-46, 48-51, 53, 60, 62, 64, 66-67 and 70, and to file a certified translation of the foreign priority document in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)